

NOTE ON HERMITIAN JACOBI FORMS

SOUMYA DAS

ABSTRACT. We compare the spaces of Hermitian Jacobi forms (HJF) of weight k and indices $1, 2$ with classical Jacobi forms (JF) of weight k and indices $1, 2, 4$. Using the embedding into JF, upper bounds for the order of vanishing of HJF at the origin is obtained. We compute the rank of HJF as a module over elliptic modular forms and prove the algebraic independence of the generators in case of index 1. Some related questions are discussed.

1. INTRODUCTION

Hermitian Jacobi forms of integer weight and index are defined for the Jacobi group over the ring of integers $\mathcal{O}_K$ of an imaginary quadratic field K . They were defined and studied by K. Haverkamp in [7]. In [3] differential operators were constructed from the Taylor expansion of Hermitian Jacobi forms in analogy to that for classical Jacobi forms in [5] and a certain subspace of Hermitian Jacobi forms was realized as a subspace of a direct product of elliptic modular forms for the full modular group. The structural properties of index 1 forms were treated in [10].

In this paper we treat classical Jacobi forms as an intermediate space between Hermitian Jacobi forms and elliptic modular forms. We present some of the structural properties of index 2 forms using the restriction maps $\pi_\rho: J_{k,m}(\mathcal{O}_K) \rightarrow J_{k,N(\rho)m}$ defined by $\pi_\rho\phi(\tau, z_1, z_2) = \phi(\tau, \rho z, \bar{\rho}z)$ ($\rho \in \mathcal{O}_K$, see [7]). Since we do not have (at present) the order of vanishing of a Hermitian Jacobi forms at the origin (which is known to be $2m$, m being the index; in the case of classical Jacobi forms), computations involving the Taylor expansions is not very fruitful for $m \geq 2$.

The main results are in section 3, 4 and 5. The purpose of this note is to look at the structure of index 2 forms by comparing them with classical Jacobi forms. We also compute the rank of index m forms of weight a multiple of 2 and 4 (denoted as $J_{n*,m}(\mathcal{O}_K)$, $n = 2, 4$) as a module over the

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 11F50; Secondary 11F55.

Key words and phrases. Hermitian Jacobi forms, Restriction maps.

algebra of elliptic modular forms. Unlike the classical Jacobi forms, the number of homogeneous products of degree m of the index 1 generators is less than the rank. In the final section, we discuss several related questions on Hermitian Jacobi forms.

2. NOTATIONS AND DEFINITIONS

2.1. Hermitian Jacobi forms. Let $\mathcal{H}$ be the upper half plane. Let $K = \mathbb{Q}(i)$ and $\mathcal{O}_K = \mathbb{Z}[i]$ be it's ring of integers. Let $\Gamma_1(\mathcal{O}_K) = \{\epsilon M \mid M \in SL(2, \mathbb{Z}), \epsilon \in \mathcal{O}_K^\times\}$. The Jacobi group over $\mathcal{O}_K$ is $\Gamma^J(\mathcal{O}_K) = \Gamma_1(\mathcal{O}_K) \ltimes \mathcal{O}_K^2$.

The space of Hermitian Jacobi forms for $\Gamma^J(\mathcal{O}_K)$ of weight k and index m , where k, m are positive integers, consists of holomorphic functions Φ on $\mathcal{H} \times \mathbb{C}^2$ satisfying :

$$(2.1) \quad \phi(\tau, z_1, z_2) = \phi|_{k,m} \epsilon M(\tau, z_1, z_2) := \epsilon^{-k} (c\tau + d)^{-k} e^{\frac{-2\pi i m c z_1 \bar{z}_2}{c\tau + d}} \phi \left(M\tau, \frac{\epsilon z_1}{c\tau + d}, \frac{\bar{\epsilon} z_2}{c\tau + d} \right)$$

for all $M = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$ in $SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$

$$(2.2) \quad \phi(\tau, z_1, z_2) = \phi|_{k,m} [\lambda, \mu] := e^{2\pi i m (N(\lambda)\tau + \bar{\lambda}z_1 + \lambda z_2)} \phi(\tau, z_1 + \lambda\tau + \mu, z_2 + \bar{\lambda}\tau + \bar{\mu})$$

for all λ, μ in $\mathcal{O}_K$.

The (finite dimensional) complex vector space of Hermitian Jacobi forms of weight k and index m is denoted by $J_{k,m}(\mathcal{O}_K)$. Such a form has a Fourier expansion :

$$(2.3) \quad \phi(\tau, z_1, z_2) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\substack{r \in \mathcal{O}_K^\sharp \\ nm \geq N(r)}} c_\Phi(n, r) e^{2\pi i (n\tau + rz_1 + \bar{r}z_2)}$$

where $\mathcal{O}_K^\sharp = \frac{i}{2}\mathcal{O}_K$ (the inverse different of $K|\mathbb{Q}$) and $N: \mathcal{K} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$ is the norm map.

ϕ is called a Jacobi *cusp* form if $c_\phi(n, r) = 0$ for $nm = N(r)$. The space of Jacobi cusp forms of weight k and index m is denoted $J_{k,m}^{cusp}(\mathcal{O}_K)$.

Further, we denote the space of Jacobi forms of weight k and index m for the Jacobi group $SL(2, \mathbb{Z}) \ltimes \mathbb{Z}^2$ by $J_{k,m}$, elliptic modular(cusp) forms of weight k for $SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$ by M_k (resp. S_k). We let $e(z) := e^{2\pi iz}$ unless otherwise mentioned. In the rest of the paper we will use the standard notation $T := \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ and $S := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$.

2.2. Theta Decomposition. Hermitian Jacobi forms admit a Theta decomposition analogous to classical jacobi forms. Let $\phi \in J_{k,m}(\mathcal{O}_K)$ has the Fourier expansion 2.3. Then we have the **theta decomposition**

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(\tau, z_1, z_2) &= \sum_{s \in \mathcal{O}_K^\sharp / m\mathcal{O}_K} h_s(\tau) \cdot \theta_{m,s}^H(\tau, z_1, z_2), \quad \text{where} \\ h_s(\tau) &:= \sum_{\substack{L=0 \\ N(s)+L/4 \in m\mathbb{Z}}}^{\infty} c_s(L) e^{\frac{2\pi i L \tau}{4m}} \text{ and } \theta_{m,s}^H(\tau, z_1, z_2) := \sum_{r \equiv s \pmod{m\mathcal{O}_K}} e^{\left(\frac{N(r)}{m} \tau + r z_1 + \bar{r} z_2 \right)}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.4)$$

The Theta components of h_s of $\phi \in J_{k,m}(\mathcal{O}_K)$ (see [6, p.46,47]) have the following transformation properties under $SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$ and $\mathcal{O}_K^\times$:

$$(2.5) \quad h_s \mid_{k-1} T = e^{-2\pi i N(s)/m} h_s$$

$$(2.6) \quad h_s \mid_{k-1} S = \frac{i}{4m} \sum_{s' \in \mathcal{O}_K^\sharp / m\mathcal{O}_K} e^{-4\pi i \text{Re}(\bar{s}s')/m} h_{s'}$$

$$(2.7) \quad h_s \mid_{k-1} \epsilon I = \epsilon h_{\epsilon s}, \quad \epsilon \in \mathcal{O}_K^\times.$$

3. COMPARISON OF $J_{k,1}$ AND $J_{k,1}(\mathcal{O}_K)$

As a set of representatives of $\mathcal{O}_K^\sharp$ in $\mathcal{O}_K^\sharp / \mathcal{O}_K (\cong \frac{\mathbb{Z}}{2\mathbb{Z}} \times \frac{\mathbb{Z}}{2\mathbb{Z}})$ we take $\mathcal{S}_1 := \{0, \frac{i}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1+i}{2}\}$. In this section we denote the corresponding Theta components by $h_{i,j}$ and the Hermitian Theta functions of index 1 by $\theta_{i,j}^H$, where $\{i,j\} \in \{0,1\}$. We denote the Jacobi Theta functions of index 1 by $\theta_{1,0}(\tau, z), \theta_{1,1}(\tau, z)$. Further we let

$$\vartheta_0(\tau) = \sum_{r \in \mathbb{Z}} e(r^2 \tau), \quad \vartheta_1(\tau) = \sum_{r \equiv 1 \pmod{2}} e\left(\frac{r^2}{4} \tau\right) \quad (\tau \in \mathcal{H})$$

3.1. The case $k \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$.

Theorem 3.1. (1) *Let $k \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$. Then there is an exact sequence of vector spaces*

$$(3.1) \quad 0 \longrightarrow J_{k,1}(\mathcal{O}_K) \xrightarrow{\pi_1} J_{k,1} \xrightarrow{D_0} M_k \longrightarrow 0$$

where D_0 denotes the restriction to modular forms $\phi(\tau, z) \mapsto \phi(\tau, 0)$.

(2) *Let $k \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$. Then π_{1+i} is the zero map.*

Proof. 1. Let $\phi \in J_{k,1}(\mathcal{O}_K)$. From the Theta decomposition (2.4) and the fact that when $k \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$, $h_{0,0} = h_{1,1} = 0$ and $h_{0,1} = -h_{1,0}$ (follows from equation (2.7)) we get that

$$\pi_1 \phi = h_{0,1} (\vartheta_1 \theta_{1,0} - \vartheta_0 \theta_{1,1}).$$

Since $\vartheta_1 \theta_0 - \vartheta_0 \theta_1 \neq 0$ (see [1]), we clearly have that π_1 is injective and $\text{Im}(\pi_1) \subseteq \ker D_0$.

Let $\phi \in \ker D_0$. From [1, Theorem 1] we see that $\phi(\tau, z) = \varphi(\tau) (\vartheta_1 \theta_{1,0} - \vartheta_0 \theta_{1,1})$, where $\varphi \in M_{k-1}(SL(2, \mathbb{Z}), \bar{\omega})$ which consists of holomorphic functions $f: \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ bounded at infinity and satisfying $f|_{k-1} S = \bar{\omega}(S)f$, $f|_{k-1} T = \bar{\omega}(T)f$ (also see [1]). ω is the linear character of $SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$ defined by $\omega(T) = i$, $\omega(S) = i$.

So, we only need to check if $\phi \in J_{k,1}(\mathcal{O}_K)$, then $h_{0,1} \in M_{k-1}(SL(2, \mathbb{Z}), \bar{\omega})$. We already know $h_{0,1}$ is in $M_{k-1}((\Gamma(4)))$, so it suffices to check it has the right transformation properties under $SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$. From (2.5), (2.6) we have

$$h_{0,1}|_{k-1} T = e^{-2\pi i N(i/2)} h_{0,1}, \quad h_{0,1}|_{k-1} S = \frac{i}{2} \sum_{s \in \mathcal{O}_K^\# / \mathcal{O}_K} e^{-4\pi i \text{Re}(-is/2)} h_s$$

which give $h_{0,1}|_{k-1} T = -ih_{0,1}(\tau)$ and $h_{0,1}|_{k-1} S = -ih_{0,1}(\tau)$, as desired.

Finally D_0 is surjective. This is well known in view of the isomorphism $D_0 + D_2: J_{k,1} \rightarrow M_k \oplus S_{k+2}$, where D_2 is defined in Corollary 3.3. Another way to see this, using Hermitian Jacobi forms is as follows. Let $V := \text{Im}(D_0)$. Then we have the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow J_{k,1}(\mathcal{O}_K) \xrightarrow{\pi_1} J_{k,1} \xrightarrow{D_0} V \longrightarrow 0$$

Therefore $\dim V = \dim J_{k,1} - \dim J_{k,1}(\mathcal{O}_K) = \dim M_k$. The last equality can be seen as follows. First let $k > 4$. Then from [6, p.25] we easily compute when $k \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ that

$$\dim J_{k,1}^{Eis}(\mathcal{O}_K) = 0 \quad \text{and from [6, p.93] we have} \quad \dim J_{k,1}^{cusp}(\mathcal{O}_K) = \left\lfloor \frac{k+2}{12} \right\rfloor$$

Since $J_{k,1}(\mathcal{O}_K) = J_{k,1}^{Eis}(\mathcal{O}_K) \oplus J_{k,1}^{cusp}(\mathcal{O}_K)$ (see [6]), we get the desired equality of dimensions. When $k = 2$, $J_{k,1} = 0$ and hence so is it's subspace $J_{k,1}(\mathcal{O}_K)$. This shows $V = M_k$ and completes the exactness of the sequence (3.1).

2. Let $\phi \in J_{k,1}(\mathcal{O}_K)$ have the Theta decomposition (2.4). From Lemma 4.9, we write down the Theta decomposition of $\pi_{1+i}\phi$:

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_{1+i}\phi &= (h_{0,0}a_0 + h_{1,1}a_2)\theta_{2,0} + (h_{1,0}a_1 + h_{0,1}a_3)\theta_{2,1} \\ &\quad + (h_{0,0}a_2 + h_{1,1}a_0)\theta_{2,2} + (h_{0,1}a_0 + h_{1,0}a_3)\theta_{2,3}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\theta_{2,\mu} := \theta_{2,\mu}(\tau, z)$, ($\mu \in \mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}$) are the Jacobi Theta functions of index 2 and $a_\mu := \theta_{2,\mu}(\tau, 0)$ (note that $a_1 = a_3$). Since $h_{0,0} = h_{1,1} = 0$ and $h_{0,1} + h_{1,0} = 0$, when $k \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ the Proposition follows. $\square$

From the above Theorem and the results of [1] we get an isomorphism of $J_{k,1}(\mathcal{O}_K)$ with S_{k+2} , which was also obtained by R. Sasaki in [10].

Corollary 3.2. *Let $k \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$. Then $J_{k,1}(\mathcal{O}_K) \cong M_{k-1}(SL(2, \mathbb{Z}), \bar{\omega})$.*

Proof. Let $\phi \in J_{k,1}(\mathcal{O}_K)$. It follows from the proof of the above Theorem that the map sending ϕ to $h_{0,1}$ gives the desired isomorphism. $\square$

Corollary 3.3. *Let $k \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$. Then the composite*

$$(3.2) \quad J_{k,1}(\mathcal{O}_K) \xrightarrow{\pi_1} J_{k,1} \xrightarrow{D_2} S_{k+2}$$

gives an isomorphism from $J_{k,1}(\mathcal{O}_K)$ with S_{k+2} . $\left(D_2 = \left(\frac{k}{2\pi i} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial z^2} - 2 \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau}\right)_{z=0}\right)$

Proof. The result follows from [1, Theorem 2], which in the case $N = 1$ says that $D_2: J_{k,1} \rightarrow S_{k+2}$ gives an isomorphism of $\ker D_0$ with

$$S_{k+2}^\circ := \left\{ f \in S_{k+2} \mid \varphi := \frac{f}{\xi} \in M_{k-1}(SL(2, \mathbb{Z}), \bar{\omega}) \right\},$$

where ω is defined as above and $\xi = \vartheta_1 \vartheta'_0 - \vartheta_0 \vartheta'_1$. But $S_{k+2}^\circ = S_{k+2}$ when $N = 1$, since by [1, Proposition 2], $\xi \in S_3(SL(2, \mathbb{Z}), \omega)$. From equation (3.1) we have $\text{Im}(\pi_1) = \ker D_0$. Therefore the Corollary follows. $\square$

Corollary 3.4. *Let $k \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$. Then multiplication by ξ gives an isomorphism*

$$M_{k-1}(SL(2, \mathbb{Z}), \bar{\omega}) \xrightarrow{\cong} S_{k+2}.$$

Proof. Follows from the previous two Corollaries. $\square$

We define $J_{k,1}(\mathcal{O}_K, N)$ to be the space of Hermitian Jacobi forms for the congruence subgroup $\Gamma_0(N)$ in the usual way. It is immediate that the same proof as in Proposition 3.1 applies to this case when $k \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ (see also [1] where the case of classical Jacobi forms is done) and we have an exact sequence of vector spaces

$$(3.3) \quad 0 \longrightarrow J_{k,1}(\mathcal{O}_K, N) \xrightarrow{\pi_1} J_{k,1}(N) \xrightarrow{D_0} M_k(N)$$

Corollary 3.5. *Let $N > 1$. Then $J_{2,1}(\mathcal{O}_K, N) = 0$.*

Proof. A result of T. Arakawa, S. Böcherer [2] says that D_0 in (3.3) is injective when $k = 2$ and $N > 1$. Therefore the Corollary follows. $\square$

3.2. The case $k \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$. We now treat the case $k \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$. We recall that

$$(3.4) \quad D_0 + D_2: J_{k,1} \xrightarrow{\cong} M_k + S_{k+2}; \quad D_2 = \frac{2k}{(2\pi i)^2} \psi_2 - \frac{2}{2\pi i} \psi'_0: J_{k,1} \rightarrow S_{k+2}$$

where, $\phi(\tau, z) = \sum_{\nu \geq 0} \psi_\nu(\tau) z^\nu \in J_{k,1}$ is the Taylor expansion of ϕ around $z = 0$.

Further from the Taylor expansion of Hermitian Jacobi forms, one can define the $D_\nu(\mathcal{O}_K)$ operators in the same way as for the case of Jacobi forms (see [3], [10]). Let $\phi(\tau, z_1, z_2) = \sum_{\alpha, \beta \geq 0} \chi_{\alpha, \beta}(\tau) z_1^\alpha z_2^\beta \in J_{k,1}(\mathcal{O}_K)$ be the Taylor expansion of ϕ around $z_1 = z_2 = 0$. Let $\xi_{1,1} := D_1(\mathcal{O}_K)\phi$, $\xi_{2,2} := D_2(\mathcal{O}_K)\phi$. Then

$$(3.5) \quad \xi_{1,1} := \chi_{1,1} - \frac{2\pi i}{k} \chi'_{0,0}, \quad \xi_{2,2} := \chi_{2,2} - \frac{2\pi i}{k+2} \chi'_{1,1} + \frac{(2\pi i)^2}{2(k+1)(k+2)} \chi''_{0,0}$$

define linear maps from $J_{k,1}(\mathcal{O}_K)$ to S_{k+2} and from $J_{k,1}(\mathcal{O}_K)$ to S_{k+4} respectively.

R. Sasaki, in [10] proved that when $k \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$

$$(3.6) \quad \xi: J_{k,1}(\mathcal{O}_K) \rightarrow M_k \oplus S_{k+2} \oplus S_{k+4}, \quad \phi \mapsto \chi_{0,0} + \xi_{1,1} + \xi_{2,2} - 6(\chi_{4,0} + \chi_{0,4})$$

is an isomorphism.

Remark 3.1. We remark here that from the Fourier expansion of a Hermitian Jacobi form ϕ (2.3) of index 1, we get $\phi(\tau, z_1, z_2) = \phi(\tau, z_2, z_1)$ if $k \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ and hence in its Taylor expansion we have $\chi_{\alpha, \beta} = \chi_{\beta, \alpha} \forall \alpha, \beta \geq 0$. Hence the isomorphism is also given by $\phi \mapsto \chi_{0,0} + \xi_{1,1} + \xi_{2,2} - 12(\chi_{0,4})$. Hence the 4 Taylor coefficients $\chi_{0,0}, \chi_{0,4}, \chi_{1,1}, \chi_{2,2}$ determine ϕ , as expected in analogy with classical Jacobi forms.

Theorem 3.6. (1) Let $k \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$. Then there is an exact sequence of vector spaces

$$(3.7) \quad 0 \longrightarrow S_{k+4} \xrightarrow{\xi^{-1}|_{S_{k+4}}} J_{k,1}(\mathcal{O}_K) \xrightarrow{\pi_1} J_{k,1} \longrightarrow 0$$

where $\xi: J_{k,1}(\mathcal{O}_K) \rightarrow M_k \oplus S_{k+2} \oplus S_{k+4}$ is the isomorphism given in [10].

(2) Let $k \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$. Then π_{1+i} induces an isomorphism between $J_{k,1}(\mathcal{O}_K)$ and $J_{k,2}$.

Proof. 1. Follows directly from Lemma 3.8 given below.

2. When $k \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$, in the Theta decomposition of $\phi \in J_{k,1}(\mathcal{O}_K)$ we have $h_{0,1} = h_{1,0}$. Let $\phi \in \ker \pi_{1+i}$. From the Theta decomposition of $\pi_{1+i}\phi$ (see [4]) we easily deduce $h_{0,1} = h_{1,0} = 0$, $(a_0^2 - a_2^2)h_{0,0} = (a_0^2 - a_2^2)h_{1,1} = 0$. But $a_0^2 \not\equiv a_2^2$ since Wr_2 doesnot vanish on $\mathcal{H}$ (see the proof of *Step 1* of Theorem 4.11). Hence, the kernel is trivial. Moreover, from Corollary 3.7, considering the domensions, we conclude that π_{1+i} is an isomorphism. $\square$

Corollary 3.7. Let $k \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$. Then

$$J_{k,2} \xrightarrow{D_0 + D_2 + D_4} M_k \oplus S_{k+2} \oplus S_{k+4} \xrightarrow{\xi^{-1}} J_{k,1}(\mathcal{O}_K)$$

is an isomorphism.

Proof. In fact, each map is an isomorphism. The first map is injective by [5] and dimension count shows it is an isomorphism. $\square$

Remark 3.2. In the above Theorem, it is clear that if $f \in S_{k+4}$,

$$\xi^{-1}f = \{\phi \in J_{k,1}(\mathcal{O}_K) \mid \chi_{2,2} - 12\chi_{0,4} = f\}$$

Lemma 3.8. The following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} J_{k,1}(\mathcal{O}_K) & \xrightarrow{\pi_1} & J_{k,1} \\ \cong \downarrow \xi & & \cong \downarrow D_0 + \frac{(2\pi i)^2}{2k} D_2 \\ M_k \oplus S_{k+2} \oplus S_{k+4} & \xrightarrow{pr.} & M_k \oplus S_{k+2} \end{array}$$

is commutative.

Proof. The proof is immediate from definitions. We compute $(pr. \circ \xi)\phi = \chi_{0,0} - \frac{2\pi i}{k}\chi'_{0,0} + \chi_{1,1}$. On the other hand, $(D_0 + \frac{(2\pi i)^2}{2k}D_2) \circ \pi_1\phi = \chi_{0,0} + (\chi_{0,2} + \chi_{2,0} + \chi_{1,1}) - \frac{2\pi i}{k}\chi'_{0,0} = \chi_{0,0} - \frac{2\pi i}{k}\chi'_{0,0} + \chi_{1,1}$,

since $\chi_{0,2} = \chi_{2,0} = 0 = \chi_{1,0} = \chi_{0,1}$ when $k \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$. In fact, $\chi_{\alpha,\beta} = 0$ unless $\alpha - \beta \equiv k \pmod{4}$ follows from first transformation rule for Hermitian Jacobi forms (2.1). $\square$

4. HERMITIAN JACOBI FORMS OF INDEX 2

In this section we consider Hermitian Jacobi forms of index 2 by relating them to classical Jacobi forms and elliptic modular forms via several restriction maps. Let $\mathcal{D} := 2i\mathcal{O}_K$, the Different of K . We use a representation of the group defined for a positive integer m :

$$G_m := \{\mu \in \mathcal{O}_K/m\mathcal{D} \mid N(\mu) \equiv 1 \pmod{4m}\}.$$

For $m = 2$, we consider the representation of $G_2 = U_K \cong \mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}$ defined in [6] :

$$\rho_2: G_2 \longrightarrow \text{Aut}(J_{k,2}(\mathcal{O}_K)), \quad \mu \mapsto W_\mu,$$

where W_μ is defined by

$$W_\mu(\Theta_2^t \cdot h) = \Theta_2^t \cdot h^{(\mu)}, \quad h^{(\mu)} := (h_{\mu s})_{s \in \mathcal{O}_K^\# / 2\mathcal{O}_K}.$$

Accordingly we have a decomposition of $J_{k,2}(\mathcal{O}_K)$:

$$(4.1) \quad J_{k,2}(\mathcal{O}_K) = \bigoplus_{\eta \in G_2^*} J_{k,2}^\eta(\mathcal{O}_K),$$

where, G_2^* is the group of characters of G and

$$(4.2) \quad J_{k,2}^\eta(\mathcal{O}_K) := \{\phi \in J_{k,2}(\mathcal{O}_K) \mid W_\mu \phi = \eta(\mu) \phi \quad \forall \mu \in G_2\}.$$

$G_2 \cong \mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}$ via $i \mapsto 1, -1 \mapsto 2, -i \mapsto 3, 1 \mapsto 0$. Also,

$$G_2^* = \left\{ \eta_\alpha := \left(x \mapsto e^{\frac{2\pi i \alpha x}{4}} \right); x, \alpha \in \mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z} \right\}.$$

We take as a set of representatives of $\mathcal{O}_K^\#$ in $\mathcal{O}_K^\# / m\mathcal{O}_K$ as the set

$$\mathcal{S}_m := \left\{ \frac{a}{2} + i\frac{b}{2} \mid a, b \in \mathbb{Z}/2m\mathbb{Z} \right\}.$$

We denote the corresponding Theta components of $\phi \in J_{k,2}(\mathcal{O}_K)$ by $h_{a,b}$ and the Hermitian Theta functions of weight 1 and index m by $\theta_{m;a,b}^H$ (or by $\theta_{m;s}^H$, $s = \frac{a+ib}{2} \in \mathcal{S}_m$) in this section, but we drop the index unless there is a danger of confusion. Also we denote by $\theta_{m,\mu}(\tau, z)$ ($\mu \pmod{2m}$) the classical Theta functions. The following Lemmas give the Theta decomposition of the images

of Hermitian Jacobi forms of index 2 under the restriction maps. We define for convenience of notation $a_\mu := \theta_{2,\mu}(\tau, 0)$ ($\mu \in \mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}$) and $b_\mu := \theta_{4,\mu}(\tau, 0)$ ($\mu \in \mathbb{Z}/8\mathbb{Z}$).

Lemma 4.1. *Let $\pi_1: J_{k,2}(\mathcal{O}_K) \rightarrow J_{k,2}$ given by $\phi(\tau, z_1, z_2) \mapsto \phi(\tau, z, z)$ and $\pi_1\phi = \sum_{\mu \in \mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}} H_\mu(\tau) \cdot \theta_{2,\mu}(\tau, z)$ be it's Theta decomposition, where $H_\mu = (-1)^k H_{-\mu}$ ($\mu \in \mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}$). Then,*

$$(4.3) \quad H_0 = h_{0,0}a_0 + h_{0,1}a_1 + h_{0,2}a_2 + h_{0,3}a_3,$$

$$(4.4) \quad H_1 = h_{1,0}a_0 + h_{1,1}a_1 + h_{1,2}a_2 + h_{1,3}a_3,$$

$$(4.5) \quad H_2 = h_{2,0}a_0 + h_{2,1}a_1 + h_{2,2}a_2 + h_{2,3}a_3.$$

Proof. Let $s \in \mathcal{S}_2$. The effect of π_1 on $\theta_{2;s}^H$ is given below.

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_1\theta_{2;s}^H &= \sum_{\substack{r \equiv s \pmod{2\mathcal{O}_K} \\ r \in \mathcal{O}_K^\sharp}} e\left(\frac{N(r)}{2}\tau + 2\operatorname{Re}(r) \cdot z\right) \\ &= \sum_{\substack{\operatorname{Re}(2r) \equiv \operatorname{Re}(2s) \pmod{4\mathbb{Z}} \\ \operatorname{Re}(2r) \in \mathbb{Z}}} e\left(\frac{(\operatorname{Re}(2r))^2}{8}\tau + \operatorname{Re}(2r) \cdot z\right) \times \sum_{\substack{\operatorname{Im}(2r) \equiv \operatorname{Im}(2s) \pmod{4\mathbb{Z}} \\ \operatorname{Im}(2r) \in \mathbb{Z}}} e\left(\frac{(\operatorname{Im}(2r))^2}{8}\tau\right) \\ &= \theta_{2,\operatorname{Re}(2s)}(\tau, z) \cdot a_{\operatorname{Im}(2s)}. \end{aligned}$$

This shows that $\pi_1\phi = \sum_{\mu \in \mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}} \left(\sum_{\substack{s \in \mathcal{S}_2 \\ \operatorname{Re}(2s) = \mu}} h_s \cdot a_{\operatorname{Im}(2s)} \right) \theta_{2,\mu}(\tau, z)$, which proves the Lemma. $\square$

Lemma 4.2. *Let $\pi_{1+i}: J_{k,2}(\mathcal{O}_K) \rightarrow J_{k,4}$ given by $\phi(\tau, z_1, z_2) \mapsto \phi(\tau, (1+i)z, (1-i)z)$ and $\pi_{1+i}\phi = \sum_{\mu \in \mathbb{Z}/8\mathbb{Z}} \bar{H}_\mu(\tau) \cdot \theta_{4,\mu}(\tau, z)$ be it's Theta decomposition, where $\bar{H}_\mu = (-1)^k \bar{H}_{-\mu}$ ($\mu \in \mathbb{Z}/8\mathbb{Z}$). Then,*

$$(4.6) \quad \bar{H}_0 = h_{0,0}b_0 + h_{1,1}b_2 + h_{2,2}b_4 + h_{3,3}b_6,$$

$$(4.7) \quad \bar{H}_1 = h_{1,0}b_1 + h_{2,1}b_3 + h_{3,2}b_5 + h_{0,3}b_7,$$

$$(4.8) \quad \bar{H}_2 = h_{2,0}b_2 + h_{3,1}b_4 + h_{0,2}b_6 + h_{1,3}b_0,$$

$$(4.9) \quad \bar{H}_3 = h_{3,0}b_3 + h_{0,1}b_5 + h_{1,2}b_7 + h_{2,3}b_1,$$

$$(4.10) \quad \bar{H}_4 = h_{0,0}b_4 + h_{1,1}b_6 + h_{2,2}b_0 + h_{3,3}b_2.$$

Proof. We note that $2(1+i)\mathcal{O}_K = 4\mathcal{O}_K \cup 2(1+i) + 4\mathcal{O}_K$ (disjoint union) as abelian groups. Let $s = \frac{\mu}{2} + i\frac{\lambda}{2} \in \mathcal{S}_2$. We have $U_{1+i}\theta_{2,s}^H(\tau, z_1, z_2) =$

$$\begin{aligned} &= \sum_{r \equiv s \pmod{2\mathcal{O}_K}} e\left(\frac{N(r)}{2}\tau + (1+i)r z_1 + (1-i)\bar{r} z_2\right) \\ &= \sum_{r' \equiv (1+i)s \pmod{2(1+i)\mathcal{O}_K}} e\left(\frac{N(r')}{4}\tau + r' z_1 + \bar{r}' z_2\right) \\ &= \sum_{r' \equiv \frac{\mu-\lambda}{2} + i\frac{\mu+\lambda}{2} \pmod{4\mathcal{O}_K}} e\left(\frac{N(r')}{4}\tau + r' z_1 + \bar{r}' z_2\right) + \sum_{r' \equiv \frac{\mu-\lambda+4}{2} + i\frac{\mu+\lambda+4}{2} \pmod{4\mathcal{O}_K}} e\left(\frac{N(r')}{4}\tau + r' z_1 + \bar{r}' z_2\right), \end{aligned}$$

from which the Lemma follows easily. $\square$

From the transformation $h_s|_{k-1} \epsilon I = \epsilon h_{\epsilon s}$ ($\epsilon \in \mathcal{O}_K^\times$), we conclude that

$$(4.11) \quad h_{a,b} = i^k h_{-b,a}, \quad h_{a,b} = (-1)^k h_{-a,-b}.$$

From the direct-sum decomposition (4.1) or from above equations (4.3) we see that $J_{k,2}(\mathcal{O}_K) = J_{k,2}^{\eta_\alpha}(\mathcal{O}_K)$ for $k + \alpha \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$.

4.1. $\eta = \eta_1$. In this case $k \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$, and it is easy to see that $h_{0,0} = h_{2,2} = h_{0,2} = h_{2,0} = 0$, and after a calculation,

$$(4.12) \quad h_{0,3} = -h_{0,1}, \quad h_{1,0} = -ih_{0,1}, \quad h_{1,3} = -ih_{1,1}, \quad h_{2,1} = ih_{1,2}, \quad h_{2,3} = -ih_{1,2}$$

$$(4.13) \quad h_{3,0} = ih_{0,1}, \quad h_{3,1} = ih_{1,1}, \quad h_{3,2} = -h_{1,2}, \quad h_{3,3} = -h_{1,1}.$$

We consider the map $\pi_{1+i}: J_{k,2}^{\eta_1}(\mathcal{O}_K) \rightarrow J_{k,4}$. Using Lemma 4.2 we have

$$(4.14) \quad \pi_{1+i}\phi(\tau, z) = \sum_{\mu \pmod{8}} \bar{H}_\mu \theta_{4,\mu}(\tau, z) \quad \text{where } \bar{H}_0 = \bar{H}_4 = 0$$

$$(4.15) \quad \bar{H}_1 = -(1+i)h_{0,1}b_1 - (1-i)h_{1,2}b_3, \quad \bar{H}_2 = ih_{1,1}(b_4 - b_0),$$

$$(4.16) \quad \bar{H}_3 = (1+i)h_{0,1}b_3 + (1-i)h_{1,2}b_1$$

from which we conclude that π_{1+i} is injective. But for $k > 4$, from [6, Satz 2.5] we get $\dim J_{k,2}^{Eis}(\mathcal{O}_K) = 0$. Also for $k > 4$, using the Trace formula (see [7, Theorem 3], [6, Korollar 2.5, p.92]) we get $\dim J_{k,2}(\mathcal{O}_K) = \frac{k-3}{4} = \dim J_{k,2}$, where the last equality follows from [5, Cor. Theorem 9.2]). When $k = 3$, $J_{3,4} = 0$ and therefore so is $J_{3,2}(\mathcal{O}_K)$. Therefore,

Proposition 4.3. *Let $k \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$. Then π_{1+i} induces an isomorphism between $J_{k,2}(\mathcal{O}_K)$ and $J_{k,4}$.*

4.2. $\eta = \eta_2$. In this case $k \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ and using the equations (2.7) and (??) we find that $h_{0,0} = h_{2,2} = 0$ and every other Theta component h_s of $\phi \in J_{k,2}^{\eta_2}(\mathcal{O}_K)$ is a unit times $h_{0,1}, h_{0,2}, h_{1,1}, h_{1,2}$:

$$(4.17) \quad h_{0,3} = h_{0,1}, \quad h_{1,0} = -h_{0,1}, \quad h_{1,3} = -h_{1,1}, \quad h_{2,1} = -h_{1,2}, \quad h_{2,3} = -h_{1,2}$$

$$(4.18) \quad h_{3,0} = -h_{0,1}, \quad h_{3,1} = -h_{1,1}, \quad h_{3,2} = h_{1,2}, \quad h_{3,3} = h_{1,1}.$$

Further, we calculate the transformation of $h_{0,1}, h_{0,2}, h_{1,1}, h_{1,2}$ under S from equation (2.6):

$$(4.19) \quad h_{0,1} \mid_{k-1} S = \frac{i}{2}(h_{0,1} + h_{0,2} + h_{1,2})$$

$$(4.20) \quad h_{0,2} \mid_{k-1} S = i(h_{0,1} - h_{1,2})$$

$$(4.21) \quad h_{1,1} \mid_{k-1} S = -ih_{1,1}$$

$$(4.22) \quad h_{1,2} \mid_{k-1} S = \frac{i}{2}(h_{0,1} - h_{0,2} + h_{1,2})$$

Also, the formula $h_s \mid_{k-1} T = e^{-\pi i N(s)} h_s$ (from (2.5) when $m = 2$), gives

$$(4.23) \quad h_{1,1}(\tau + 1) = -ih_{1,1}(\tau), \quad h_{0,1}(\tau + 1) = \frac{1-i}{\sqrt{2}} h_{0,1}(\tau)$$

$$(4.24) \quad h_{0,2}(\tau + 1) = -h_{0,2}(\tau), \quad h_{1,2}(\tau + 1) = -\frac{1-i}{\sqrt{2}} h_{1,2}(\tau)$$

We note the above observations in the following Lemmas :

Lemma 4.4. *Let $k \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$. Then in the Theta decomposition (2.4) of $\phi \in J_{k,2}(\mathcal{O}_K)$, $h_{1,1} \in M_{k-1}(SL(2, \mathbb{Z}), \bar{\omega})$, where ω is the linear character of $SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$ defined by $\omega(T) = \omega(S) = i$.*

Proof. From the above we get $h_{1,1} \in M_{k-1}(SL(2, \mathbb{Z}), \bar{\omega})$; since $h_{1,1}$ is already a modular form for $\Gamma(8)$, the holomorphicity at infinity is automatic. $\square$

Lemma 4.5. *$k \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$. Then $J_{k,2}^{Spez}(\mathcal{O}_K) = 0$.*

Proof. For by [6, Proposition 5.6] the homomorphism $\iota: J_{k,2}^{Spez}(\mathcal{O}_K) \rightarrow M_{k-1}^*(\Gamma_0(8), (\frac{-4}{\cdot}))$ is injective, where

$$(4.25) \quad M_{k-1}^*\left(\Gamma_0(8), \left(\frac{-4}{\cdot}\right)\right) = \left\{ f(\tau) = \sum_n a(n) e(n\tau) \in M_{k-1}\left(\Gamma_0(8), \left(\frac{-4}{\cdot}\right)\right) \mid \right.$$

$$(4.26) \quad \left. a(n) \neq 0 \Rightarrow \exists \lambda \in \mathcal{O}_K: n \equiv -N(\lambda) \pmod{8} \right\}$$

and $\iota(\phi)(\tau) = \sum_{s \in \mathcal{O}_K^\# / \mathcal{O}_K} h_s(8\tau)$, which turns out to be 0 in this case from the equations (4.17) and (4.18). $\square$

Lemma 4.6. *Let $p, q \in \mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}$ so that $\frac{p}{2} + i\frac{q}{2} \in \mathcal{S}_2$. Then*

$$\frac{\partial^6}{\partial z_1^6} (\theta_{p,q}^H(\tau, z_1, z_2) - \theta_{q,p}^H(\tau, z_1, z_2))_{z_1=z_2=0} = 2(16\pi i)^3 \left((a_p''' a_q - a_q''' a_p) + 15(a_q'' a_p' - a_p'' a_q') \right)$$

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} L.H.S. &= (2\pi i)^6 \sum_{\substack{x \equiv p \pmod{4} \\ y \equiv q \pmod{4}}} (x + iy)^6 e\left(\frac{x^2 + y^2}{8}\tau\right) - (2\pi i)^6 \sum_{\substack{y \equiv p \pmod{4} \\ x \equiv q \pmod{4}}} (x + iy)^6 e\left(\frac{x^2 + y^2}{8}\tau\right) \\ &= (2\pi i)^6 \sum_{\substack{x \equiv p \pmod{4} \\ y \equiv q \pmod{4}}} (x + iy)^6 e\left(\frac{x^2 + y^2}{8}\tau\right) + (2\pi i)^6 \sum_{\substack{x \equiv p \pmod{4} \\ y \equiv q \pmod{4}}} (x - iy)^6 e\left(\frac{x^2 + y^2}{8}\tau\right) \\ &= 2(2\pi i)^6 \sum_{\substack{x \equiv p \pmod{4} \\ y \equiv q \pmod{4}}} (x^6 - 15x^4 y^2 + 15x^2 y^4 - y^6) e\left(\frac{x^2 + y^2}{8}\tau\right) \\ &= 2(16\pi i)^3 \left((a_p''' a_q - a_q''' a_p) + 15(a_q'' a_p' - a_p'' a_q') \right) = R.H.S. \end{aligned}$$

$\square$

Theorem 4.7. *Let $k \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$. We have the following exact sequence of vector spaces*

$$(4.27) \quad 0 \longrightarrow S_{k+2} \times S_{k+6} \xrightarrow{\sigma} J_{k,2}(\mathcal{O}_K) \xrightarrow{\pi_1} J_{k,2} \xrightarrow{D_0} M_k \longrightarrow 0$$

The map σ is defined as follows. We will prove that $\ker \pi_1 \cong M_{k-1}(SL(2, \mathbb{Z}), \bar{\omega}) \times S_{k+6}$; $\phi \mapsto (h_{1,1}, D_0(6)(\phi - h_{1,1}(\theta_{1,1}^H - \theta_{1,3}^H - \theta_{3,1}^H + \theta_{3,3}^H)))$ where $D_0(6)\phi = \chi_{6,0}$, the coefficient of z_1^6 in the Taylor expansion of ϕ around $z_1 = z_2 = 0$ (see Chapter 2 for the definition of Differential operators D_ν , $\nu \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$). σ will be the inverse of this isomorphism composed with the isomorphism from S_{k+2} to $M_{k-1}(SL(2, \mathbb{Z}), \bar{\omega})$ (see Corollary 3.4).

Proof. We divide the proof into 3 steps.

Step 1. Consider the restriction map $\pi_1: J_{k,2}(\mathcal{O}_K) \rightarrow J_{k,2}$. Let $\phi \in \ker \pi_1$. We obtain the Theta decomposition of $\pi_1\phi$ from Lemma 4.1. Keeping the notation of the Lemma,

$$(4.28) \quad H_0 = h_{0,0}a_0 + h_{0,1}a_1 + h_{0,2}a_2 + h_{0,3}a_3 = 2h_{0,1}a_1 + h_{0,2}a_2$$

$$(4.29) \quad H_1 = h_{1,0}a_0 + h_{1,1}a_1 + h_{1,2}a_2 + h_{1,3}a_3 = -h_{0,1}a_0 + h_{1,2}a_2$$

$$(4.30) \quad H_2 = h_{2,0}a_0 + h_{2,1}a_1 + h_{2,2}a_2 + h_{2,3}a_3 = -h_{0,2}a_0 - 2h_{1,2}a_1$$

upon using equations (4.17), (4.18); and $H_1 = H_3$. Since $\phi \in \ker \pi_1$ we get

$$(4.31) \quad \frac{h_{0,1}}{a_2} = \frac{-h_{0,2}}{2a_1} = \frac{h_{1,2}}{a_0} := \psi.$$

ψ is well defined since it is well known that a_μ ($\mu \in \mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}$) never vanish on $\mathcal{H}$. Therefore

$$(4.32) \quad \begin{aligned} \phi = \psi & \left(a_2 (\theta_{0,1}^H + \theta_{0,3}^H - \theta_{1,0}^H - \theta_{3,0}^H) - 2a_1 (\theta_{0,2}^H - \theta_{2,0}^H) + a_0 (\theta_{1,2}^H - \theta_{2,1}^H - \theta_{2,3}^H + \theta_{3,2}^H) \right) \\ & + h_{1,1} (\theta_{1,1}^H - \theta_{1,3}^H - \theta_{3,1}^H + \theta_{3,3}^H). \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore from the definition of ψ above and using the transformation formulas (4.19), (4.20), (4.22) for $(h_{0,1}, h_{0,2}, h_{1,2})$ we get the following transformation formulas for ψ :

$$(4.33) \quad \psi \left(-\frac{1}{\tau} \right) = \frac{1-i}{\sqrt{2}} \tau^{k-3/2} \psi(\tau), \quad \psi(\tau+1) = -\frac{1-i}{\sqrt{2}} \psi(\tau)$$

Further, from equations (4.19), (4.20), (4.22), (4.4) and from Theorem ?? (since the transformations of $(h_{0,1}, h_{0,2}, h_{1,2})$ and $h_{1,1}$ under S, T are independent of each other) we conclude that $h_{1,1} (\theta_{1,1}^H - \theta_{1,3}^H - \theta_{3,1}^H + \theta_{3,3}^H) \in J_{k,2}(\mathcal{O}_K)$ and hence so is $\phi - h_{1,1} (\theta_{1,1}^H - \theta_{1,3}^H - \theta_{3,1}^H + \theta_{3,3}^H)$.

We define $\ker \pi_1^\circ := \{\phi \in \ker \pi_1 \mid h_{1,1} = 0\}$. By the same reasoning as in the above paragraph,

$$\ker \pi_1 \cong M_{k-1}(SL(2, \mathbb{Z}), \bar{\omega}) \times \ker \pi_1^\circ \quad \text{via} \quad \phi \mapsto (h_{1,1}, \phi - h_{1,1}(\theta_{1,1}^H - \theta_{1,3}^H - \theta_{3,1}^H + \theta_{3,3}^H)),$$

using Lemma 4.4 and that $\theta_{1,1}^H - \theta_{1,3}^H - \theta_{3,1}^H + \theta_{3,3}^H \not\equiv 0$. The latter fact follows from (cf. [6])

$$\int_{P_\tau} \theta_{m;s}^H(\tau, z_1, z_2) \cdot \overline{\theta_{m;t}^H(\tau, z_1, z_2)} e^{-\pi m N(z_1 - \bar{z}_2)/v} dz_1 dz_2 = \frac{\delta_{s,t}(m\mathcal{O}_K)v}{m}$$

where, $\tau = u + iv \in \mathcal{H}$, $\delta_{s,t}(m\mathcal{O}_K) := \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } s \equiv t \pmod{m\mathcal{O}_K} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$, and the parallelootope

$P_\tau := \{(\alpha + \beta i + \gamma\tau + \delta i\tau), (\alpha - \beta i + \gamma\tau - \delta i\tau); 0 \leq \alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta \leq 1\} \subset \mathbb{C}^2$. We now prove that

$D_0(6): \ker \pi_1^\circ \rightarrow S_{k+6}$ is an isomorphism.

Let $\phi \in \ker \pi_1^\circ$. From equation (4.32) and Lemma 4.6 we get

$$\begin{aligned}
D_0(6)\phi &= 2c\psi a_2 \left((a_0''' a_1 - a_1''' a_0) + 15 (a_1'' a_0' - a_0'' a_1') \right) - 2c\psi a_1 \left((a_0''' a_2 - a_2''' a_0) + 15 (a_2'' a_0' - a_0'' a_2') \right) \\
&\quad + 2c\psi a_0 \left((a_1''' a_2 - a_2''' a_1) + 15 (a_2'' a_1' - a_1'' a_2') \right) \\
&= 30c\psi \left(a_0 (a_2'' a_1' - a_1'' a_2') - a_1 (a_2'' a_0' - a_0'' a_2') + a_2 (a_1'' a_0' - a_0'' a_1') \right) \\
&= 15c\psi \cdot Wr_2(\tau) = 15c'\psi\eta^{15}(\tau)
\end{aligned}$$

where $c = 2(16\pi i)^3$, $c' = c\left(\frac{\pi i}{4}\right)^3 2!4!$ and $Wr_m(\tau) = 2^{m-1} \det \left(\theta_{m,\mu}^{(\nu)}(\tau, 0)_{0 \leq \nu, \mu \leq m} \right)$ is the Jacobi-Theta Wronskian of order 2. The equality $Wr_2(\tau) = \frac{\pi i^3}{4} 2!4! \eta^{15}(\tau)$ ($\eta(\tau)$ being the Dedekind's η -function) follows from [8].

$D_0(6) \mid_{\ker \pi_1}$ is clearly injective. To show it's surjectivity it suffices to check that given $f \in S_{k+6}$, if we define ψ by $\psi \cdot \eta^{15} := f$, then ψ has the transformation properties (4.33). It is then easy to check that the equation (4.31) defining the vector-valued modular form $(h_{0,1}, h_{0,2}, h_{1,2})$ gives it's transformation formulas from those of ψ and (a_0, a_1, a_2) (see [5, p.59]), the conditions at infinity being trivially true. Since we already know that

$$\psi \left(a_2 (\theta_{0,1}^H + \theta_{0,3}^H - \theta_{1,0}^H - \theta_{3,0}^H) - 2a_1 (\theta_{0,2}^H - \theta_{2,0}^H) + a_0 (\theta_{1,2}^H - \theta_{2,1}^H - \theta_{2,3}^H + \theta_{3,2}^H) \right) \in \ker \pi_1^\circ$$

from the argument after equation (4.33), the assertion about sufficiency is true.

It remains to check the sufficiency. We know $\eta^{15}(-\frac{1}{\tau}) = \left(\frac{\tau}{i}\right)^{15} \eta(\tau)$, $\eta^{15}(\tau+1) = e^{\frac{5\pi i}{4}} \eta(\tau)$. From the definition of ψ , we have

$$\psi \left(-\frac{1}{\tau} \right) \eta^{15} \left(-\frac{1}{\tau} \right) = \tau^{k+6} \psi(\tau) \eta^{15}(\tau), \quad \psi(\tau+1) \eta^{15}(\tau+1) = \psi(\tau) \eta^{15}(\tau)$$

which clearly gives the right transformation properties for ψ . From the definition of σ (after the statement of Theorem 4.7) we see that σ induces an isomorphism between $S_{k+2} \times S_{k+6}$ and $\ker \pi_1$.

Step 2. The case $k = 2$. We claim $J_{2,2}(\mathcal{O}_K) = 0$. Indeed, considering the restriction map π_1 we find $\dim J_{2,2}(\mathcal{O}_K) = \dim \ker \pi_1 + \dim \text{Im}(\pi_1) = \dim S_4 + \dim S_8 = 0$ since $J_{2,2} = 0$ and using *Step 1* for the description of $\ker \pi_1$. The Theorem is trivially true in this case.

Step 3. $\ker D_0 = \text{Im}(\pi_1)$ for $k > 4$. From equations (4.28), (4.29), (4.30) it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} D_0 \circ \pi_1 &= a_0 H_0 + 2a_1 H_1 + a_2 H_2 \\ &= a_0(2h_{0,1}a_1 + h_{0,2}a_2) - 2a_1(h_{0,1}a_0 + h_{1,2}a_2) - a_2(h_{0,2}a_0 - 2h_{0,2}a_1) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

This could also be seen from the fact that $(D_0 \circ \pi_1)\phi = D_0(\mathcal{O}_K)\phi = \chi_{0,0} = 0$ since $\chi_{\alpha,\beta} = 0$ unless $\alpha - \beta \equiv k \pmod{4}$. So $\text{Im}(\pi_1) \subseteq \ker D_0$. But a direct check (considering $k \equiv 2, 6, 10 \pmod{12}$) shows

$$\begin{aligned} \dim \text{Im}(\pi_1) &= \dim J_{k,2}(\mathcal{O}_K) - \dim \ker \pi_1 = \left\lfloor \frac{k-1}{3} \right\rfloor - \dim S_{k+2} - \dim S_{k+6} \\ &= \dim J_{k,2} - \dim M_k = \dim \ker D_0 \end{aligned}$$

since D_0 is surjective. The dimension formula for $J_{k,2}^{cusp}(\mathcal{O}_K)$ ($k > 4$) follows from [6, Korollar 8.11, p.92] or [7, Theorem 3] and the fact that $\dim J_{k,2}^{Eis}(\mathcal{O}_K) = 0$ for $k \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ (see [6, Satz 2.5, p.25]) gives $\dim J_{k,2}(\mathcal{O}_K) = \left\lfloor \frac{k-1}{3} \right\rfloor$. This completes the proof of the Theorem. $\square$

4.3. $\eta = \eta_3$. In this case, it is easy to see that $h_{0,0} = h_{2,2} = h_{0,2} = h_{2,0} = 0$, and after a calculation,

$$(4.34) \quad h_{0,3} = -h_{0,1}, \quad h_{1,0} = ih_{0,1}, \quad h_{1,3} = ih_{1,1}, \quad h_{2,1} = -ih_{1,2}, \quad h_{2,3} = ih_{1,2}$$

$$(4.35) \quad h_{3,0} = -ih_{0,1}, \quad h_{3,1} = -ih_{1,1}, \quad h_{3,2} = -h_{1,2}, \quad h_{3,3} = -h_{1,1}.$$

Exactly the same argument as in the case $\eta = \eta_1$ works here, i.e., consider the map $\pi_{1+i}: J_{k,2}^{\eta_3}(\mathcal{O}_K) \rightarrow J_{k,4}$. Using Lemma 4.2 we have

$$(4.36) \quad \pi_{1+i}\phi(\tau, z) = \sum_{\mu \pmod{8}} \bar{H}_\mu \theta_{4,\mu}(\tau, z) \quad \text{where } \bar{H}_0 = \bar{H}_4 = 0$$

$$(4.37) \quad \bar{H}_1 = -(1-i)h_{0,1}b_1 - (1+i)h_{1,2}b_3, \quad \bar{H}_2 = ih_{1,1}(b_0 - b_4),$$

$$(4.38) \quad \bar{H}_3 = (1-i)h_{0,1}b_3 + (1+i)h_{1,2}b_1,$$

from which we conclude that π_{1+i} is injective. Also from the dimension formula for $k > 4$ we get $\dim J_{k,2}(\mathcal{O}_K) = \frac{k-5}{4}$ ($= \dim J_{k,4}$ from [5]), whereas $J_{1,2}(\mathcal{O}_K) \hookrightarrow J_{1,4} = 0$. Hence

Proposition 4.8. *Let $k \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$. Then π_{1+i} induces an isomorphism between $J_{k,2}(\mathcal{O}_K)$ and $J_{k,4}$.*

4.4. $\eta = \eta_0$. In this case $k \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ and,

$$(4.39) \quad h_{0,1} = h_{0,3} = h_{1,0} = h_{3,0}, \quad h_{0,2} = h_{2,0}$$

$$(4.40) \quad h_{1,2} = h_{2,1} = h_{2,3} = h_{3,2}, \quad h_{1,1} = h_{1,3} = h_{3,1} = h_{3,3}.$$

For $k > 4$ from [6, Satz 2.5, p.25] we find $\dim J_{k,2}^{Eis}(\mathcal{O}_K) = 2$, and from [6, Korollar 8.1, p.92] or [7, Theorem 3] that $\dim J_{k,2}^{cusp}(\mathcal{O}_K) = \frac{k-4}{2}$ via the Trace formula for Hecke Operators. Therefore $\dim J_{k,2}(\mathcal{O}_K) = \frac{k}{2}$.

We prove a Lemma which will be used in the proof of the next Theorem.

Lemma 4.9. *Let $\phi \in J_{k,1}(\mathcal{O}_K)$ with Theta decomposition $\phi = h_0\theta_{1,0}^H + h_{\frac{1}{2}}\theta_{1,\frac{1}{2}}^H + h_{\frac{i}{2}}\theta_{1,\frac{i}{2}}^H + h_{\frac{1+i}{2}}\theta_{1,\frac{1+i}{2}}^H$. Then the Theta decomposition of $U_{1+i}\phi$ is given by:*

$$(4.41) \quad U_{1+i}\phi = h_0\theta_{0,0}^H + h_0\theta_{2,2}^H + h_{\frac{1}{2}}\theta_{1,1}^H + h_{\frac{1}{2}}\theta_{3,3}^H + h_{\frac{i}{2}}\theta_{3,1}^H + h_{\frac{i}{2}}\theta_{1,3}^H + h_{\frac{1+i}{2}}\theta_{0,2}^H + h_{\frac{1+i}{2}}\theta_{2,0}^H$$

Proof. First we note $(1+i)\mathcal{O}_K = 2\mathcal{O}_K \cup (1+i) + 2\mathcal{O}_K$ (disjoint union) as abelian groups. Let $s = \frac{x}{2} + i\frac{y}{2} \in \mathcal{S}_2$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} U_{1+i}\theta_{1,s}^H(\tau, z_1, z_2) &= \sum_{r \equiv s \pmod{\mathcal{O}_K}} e(N(r)\tau + (1+i)rz_1 + (1-i)\bar{r}z_2) \\ &= \sum_{r' \equiv \frac{x-y}{2} + i\frac{x+y}{2} \pmod{(1+i)\mathcal{O}_K}} e\left(\frac{N(r')}{2}\tau + r'z_1 + \bar{r}'z_2\right) \end{aligned}$$

Using the above formula and that $(1+i)\mathcal{O}_K = 2\mathcal{O}_K \cup (1+i) + 2\mathcal{O}_K$, we see that

$$(4.42) \quad U_{1+i}\theta_{1,0}^H = \theta_{0,0}^H + \theta_{2,2}^H; \quad U_{1+i}\theta_{1,\frac{1}{2}}^H = \theta_{1,1}^H + \theta_{3,3}^H;$$

$$(4.43) \quad U_{1+i}\theta_{1,\frac{i}{2}}^H = \theta_{3,1}^H + \theta_{1,3}^H; \quad U_{1+i}\theta_{1,\frac{1+i}{2}}^H = \theta_{0,2}^H + \theta_{2,0}^H.$$

The lemma now follows at once. □

Lemma 4.10. *Let $\phi_{4,1}$ be the basis element of $J_4(\mathcal{O}_K)$ given in [10]. Then,*

$$\{U_{1+i}\phi_{4,1}, \phi_{4,1} \mid V_2\} \text{ is a basis of } J_{4,2}(\mathcal{O}_K).$$

Proof. The Taylor expansion of $\phi_{4,1}$ around $z_1 = z_2 = 0$ is $\phi_{4,1}(\tau, z_1, z_2) = 2E_4 + \pi i E'_4 z_1 z_2 + \dots$ from which the proof follows easily by writing down the corresponding Taylor expansions of $U_{1+i}\phi_{4,1}$ and $\phi_{4,1} \mid V_2$. □

Theorem 4.11. *Let $k \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$. We have the following exact sequence of vector spaces*

$$(4.44) \quad 0 \rightarrow J_{k,2}(\mathcal{O}_K) \xrightarrow{\pi_1 \times \pi_{1+i}} J_{k,2} \times J_{k,4} \xrightarrow{\Lambda(2) - \Lambda(4)} M_k \times S_{k+2} \rightarrow 0$$

where $\Lambda(m) := D_0 + \frac{2}{m}D_2: J_{k,m} \rightarrow M_k \times S_{k+2}$.

Proof. We divide the proof into three steps.

Step 1. Let $\phi \in \ker \pi_1 \times \pi_{1+i}$. We invoke Lemma 4.1 and 4.2. Keeping the same notation as those in the Lemmas, we get $\pi_{1+i}\phi = \sum_{\mu \in \mathbb{Z}/8\mathbb{Z}} \bar{H}_\mu(\tau) \cdot \theta_{4,\mu}(\tau, z)$ where $\bar{H}_\mu = \bar{H}_{-\mu}$ ($\mu \in \mathbb{Z}/8\mathbb{Z}$) and

$$(4.45) \quad \bar{H}_0 = h_{0,0}b_0 + 2h_{1,1}b_2 + h_{2,2}b_4 = 0$$

$$(4.46) \quad \bar{H}_1 = 2h_{0,1}b_1 + 2h_{1,2}b_3 = 0$$

$$(4.47) \quad \bar{H}_2 = 2h_{0,2}b_2 + 2h_{1,1}b_4 = 0$$

$$(4.48) \quad \bar{H}_3 = 2h_{0,1}b_3 + 2h_{1,2}b_1 = 0$$

$$(4.49) \quad \bar{H}_4 = h_{0,0}b_4 + 2h_{1,1}b_2 + h_{2,2}b_0 = 0$$

since $b_\mu = b_{-\mu}$. Further

$$(4.50) \quad H_0 = h_{0,0}a_0 + 2h_{0,1}a_3 + h_{0,2}a_2 = 0$$

$$(4.51) \quad H_1 = h_{0,1}a_0 + 2h_{1,1}a_1 + h_{1,2}a_2 = 0$$

$$(4.52) \quad H_2 = h_{0,2}a_0 + 2h_{1,2}a_1 + h_{2,2}a_2 = 0$$

From (4.46) and (4.48) we get $(b_1^2 - b_3^2)h_{0,1} = (b_1^2 - b_3^2)h_{1,2} = 0$.

We claim that $\theta_{m,\mu}(\tau, 0) \neq \theta_{m,\nu}(\tau, 0)$ for $\mu \neq \nu$ ($0 \leq \mu, \nu \leq m$), $\tau \in \mathcal{H}$. Suppose not. Then the Wronskian Wr_m of $\theta_{m,\mu}$ ($0 \leq \mu \leq m$), would be identically zero on $\mathcal{H}$ contradicting the fact that it is a non-zero multiple of Dedekind's η -function [8].

Therefore $b_1^2(\tau) \neq b_3^2(\tau)$ for all $\tau \in \mathcal{H}$ which implies $h_{0,1} = h_{1,2} = 0$ (only $b_1^2 \neq b_3^2$ would have sufficed to get this conclusion). Finally (4.51) and (4.47) together imply $h_{1,1} = h_{0,2} = 0$. From (4.45) and (4.49) we get $(b_0^2 - b_4^2)h_{0,0} = (b_0^2 - b_4^2)h_{2,2} = 0$. By the above, we get $h_{0,0} = h_{2,2} = 0$. Hence $\phi = 0$.

Step 2. $\text{Im}(\pi_1 \times \pi_{1+i}) \subseteq \ker(\Lambda(2) - \Lambda(4))$. We use the Taylor expansions of the Jacobi forms involved. Let $\phi(\tau, z_1, z_2) = \sum_{\alpha, \beta \geq 0} \chi_{\alpha, \beta}(\tau) z_1^\alpha z_2^\beta \in J_{k,2}(\mathcal{O}_K)$ be the Taylor expansion of ϕ around $z_1 = z_2 = 0$. Then the Taylor development of $\pi_1 \phi$ and $\pi_{1+i} \phi$ are

$$(4.53) \quad \pi_1 \phi = \chi_{0,0} + \chi_{1,1} z^2 + (\chi_{0,4} + \chi_{2,2} + \chi_{4,0}) z^4 + \dots$$

$$(4.54) \quad \pi_{1+i} \phi = \chi_{0,0} + 2\chi_{1,1} z^2 - 4(\chi_{0,4} - \chi_{2,2} + \chi_{4,0}) z^4 + \dots$$

from which it easily follows $\Lambda(2)\pi_1 \phi = \Lambda(4)\pi_{1+i} \phi$.

$\Lambda(2) - \Lambda(4)$ is clearly surjective since $\Lambda(2)$ is surjective (Recall that $D_0 + D_2 + D_4: J_{k,2} \rightarrow M_k \times S_{k+2} \times S_{k+4}$ is an isomorphism).

$\text{Im}(\pi_1 \times \pi_{1+i}) = \ker(\Lambda(2) - \Lambda(4))$. We show that they have the same dimension (for $k \geq 4$). First of all we have,

$$\dim \text{Im}(\pi_1 \times \pi_{1+i}) = \dim J_{k,2}(\mathcal{O}_K) = \frac{k}{2}$$

(for $k > 4$, use Hverkamp's dimension formula, see Lemma 4.10 for $k = 4$). Whereas,

$$\dim \ker(\Lambda(2) - \Lambda(4)) = \dim J_{k,2} + \dim J_{k,4} - \dim M_k - \dim S_{k+2}$$

From part 2 of Theorem 3.7 and the fact $\dim J_{k,1} = \frac{k}{4}$ (for $k \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$), see [10, Theorem 1]) or computing directly we get $\dim J_{k,2} = \frac{k}{4}$. A direct check now shows

$$\dim J_{k,4} - \dim M_k - \dim S_{k+2} = \dim S_{k+4} + \dim S_{k+6} + \dim S_{k+8} = \frac{k}{4}$$

(Recall that $D_0 + D_2 + D_4 + D_6 + D_8: J_{k,4} \rightarrow M_k \times S_{k+2} \times S_{k+4} \times S_{k+6} \times S_{k+8}$ is an isomorphism).

This completes the proof of Theorem 4.11. □

Next, we give the explicit Theta decompositions of two particular basis elements of $J_{4,2}(\mathcal{O}_K)$. One could perhaps write down the Theta decomposition of $\phi_{4,1} \mid V_2$ from the corresponding decomposition of $\phi_{4,1}$, but we use a different trick.

Proposition 4.12. *$J_{4,2}(\mathcal{O}_K)$ is spanned by the two linearly independent elements $\Phi_{4,2}$ and $\tilde{\Phi}_{4,2}$ given by*

$$(4.55) \quad \Phi_{4,2} = (x^6 + y^6)(\theta_{0,0}^H + \theta_{2,2}^H) + z^6(\theta_{1,1}^H + \theta_{3,3}^H + \theta_{1,3}^H + \theta_{3,1}^H) + (x^6 - y^6)(\theta_{0,2}^H + \theta_{2,0}^H) \quad \text{and,}$$

(4.56)

$$\tilde{\Phi}_{4,2} = 2x^3y^3(\theta_{0,0}^H - \theta_{2,2}^H) + z^3(x^3 - y^3)(\theta_{0,1}^H + \theta_{1,0}^H + \theta_{0,3}^H + \theta_{3,0}^H) + z^3(x^3 + y^3)(\theta_{1,2}^H + \theta_{2,1}^H + \theta_{2,3}^H + \theta_{3,2}^H).$$

Proof. Let $\Phi_{4,1}$ be a basis of $J_{4,1}(\mathcal{O}_K)$ explicitly given in [10]:

(4.57)

$$\Phi_{4,1} = (x^6 + y^6)\theta_{1,0}^H + z^6(\theta_{1,\frac{1}{2}}^H + \theta_{1,\frac{i}{2}}^H) + (x^6 - y^6)\theta_{1,\frac{1+i}{2}}^H$$

where $x = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} e\left(\frac{n^2\tau}{2}\right)$, $y = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} (-1)^n e\left(\frac{n^2\tau}{2}\right)$, $z = \sum_{t \in \frac{1}{2} + \mathbb{Z}} e\left(\frac{t^2\tau}{2}\right)$ are the so called ‘‘Theta constants’’.

Let $\Phi_{4,2} := U_{1+i}\Phi_{4,1}$. We will produce another element of $J_{4,2}(\mathcal{O}_K)$ linearly independent of $\Phi_{4,2}$. To this end, we compute the Theta decomposition of $\Phi_{4,2}$ using Lemma 4.9 and the fact that $h_{\frac{1}{2}} = h_{\frac{i}{2}}$ (h_s being Theta components of an element in $J_{k,1}(\mathcal{O}_K)$, $k \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$) :

(4.58)

$$\Phi_{4,2} = (x^6 + y^6)(\theta_{0,0}^H + \theta_{2,2}^H) + z^6(\theta_{1,1}^H + \theta_{3,3}^H + \theta_{1,3}^H + \theta_{3,1}^H) + (x^6 - y^6)(\theta_{0,2}^H + \theta_{2,0}^H).$$

Here we consider the restriction π_1 . Since $\dim J_{4,2} = 1$, and π_1 is non-zero, we also have π_1 is surjective. Since $\Phi_{4,2} \notin \ker \pi_1$, $\dim \ker \pi_1 = 1$. We will determine $\tilde{\Phi}_{4,2} \neq 0$ by the condition $\tilde{\Phi}_{4,2} - \Phi_{4,2} \in \ker \pi_1$, which will prove the Proposition.

Let $\phi \in J_{4,2}(\mathcal{O}_K)$. The transformation formulas for it’s Theta components under S are as follows:

(4.59)

$$h_{0,0} \mid_3 S = \frac{i}{4}(h_{0,0} + h_{2,2} + 2h_{0,2} + 4h_{1,1} + 4h_{0,1} + 4h_{1,2})$$

(4.60)

$$h_{2,2} \mid_3 S = \frac{i}{4}(h_{0,0} + h_{2,2} + 2h_{0,2} + 4h_{1,1} - 4h_{0,1} - 4h_{1,2})$$

(4.61)

$$h_{0,1} \mid_3 S = \frac{i}{4}(h_{0,0} - h_{2,2} + 2h_{0,1} - 2h_{1,2})$$

(4.62)

$$h_{1,2} \mid_3 S = \frac{i}{4}(h_{0,0} - h_{2,2} - 2h_{0,1} + 2h_{1,2})$$

(4.63)

$$h_{0,2} \mid_3 S = \frac{i}{4}(h_{0,0} + h_{2,2} + 2h_{0,2} - 4h_{1,1})$$

(4.64)

$$h_{1,1} \mid_3 S = \frac{i}{4}(h_{0,0} + h_{2,2} - 2h_{0,2})$$

Let us denote the Theta components of $\Phi_{4,2}$ by $\hat{h}_s$ ($s \in \mathcal{S}_2$). From (4.58) we see that $\hat{h}_{0,1} = \hat{h}_{1,2} = 0$ which implies by equations (4.59),..., (4.64) that $\hat{h}_{0,0} = \hat{h}_{2,2}$ and the following transformation

formulas under S :

$$(4.65) \quad \hat{h}_{0,0} \mid_3 S = \frac{i}{2}(\hat{h}_{0,0} + \hat{h}_{0,2} + 2\hat{h}_{1,1})$$

$$(4.66) \quad \hat{h}_{0,2} \mid_3 S = \frac{i}{2}(\hat{h}_{0,0} + \hat{h}_{0,2} - 2\hat{h}_{1,1})$$

$$(4.67) \quad \hat{h}_{1,1} \mid_3 S = \frac{i}{2}(\hat{h}_{0,0} - \hat{h}_{0,2})$$

From the above formulas (4.59),..., (4.64) we note that if we assume $\tilde{h}_{1,1} = \tilde{h}_{0,2} = 0$ in a Hermitian Jacobi form $\tilde{\phi}$ of weight 4 and index 2, (conditions complementary to that in $\Phi_{4,2}$), we get $\tilde{h}_{0,0} + \tilde{h}_{2,2} = 0$ and a “honest” vector-valued modular form of weight 3:

$$(4.68) \quad \tilde{h}_{0,0} \mid_3 S = \frac{i}{2}(\tilde{h}_{0,1} + \tilde{h}_{1,2})$$

$$(4.69) \quad \tilde{h}_{0,1} \mid_3 S = \frac{i}{2}(\tilde{h}_{0,0} + \tilde{h}_{0,1} - \tilde{h}_{1,2})$$

$$(4.70) \quad \tilde{h}_{1,2} \mid_3 S = \frac{i}{2}(\tilde{h}_{0,0} - \tilde{h}_{0,1} + \tilde{h}_{1,2}),$$

the transformation formulas under T remaining the same. Therefore Theorem ?? will give a Hermitian Jacobi form of weight 4 index 2 with the above Theta components, which we denote by $\tilde{\Phi}_{4,2}$. If $\tilde{\Phi}_{4,2}$ exists and is non-zero, we are done, since by construction it is linearly independent of $\Phi_{4,2}$.

We will determine $\tilde{\Phi}_{4,2}$ by imposing the condition that $\tilde{\Phi}_{4,2} - \Phi_{4,2} \in \ker \pi_1$, i.e., $\pi_1 \tilde{\Phi}_{4,2} = \pi_1 \Phi_{4,2}$. Upon using Lemma 4.1 and equation (4.58), the Theta components $\tilde{h}_s$ of $\tilde{\Phi}_{4,2}$ satisfy the following system of equations:

$$(4.71) \quad \tilde{h}_{0,0}a_0 + 2\tilde{h}_{0,1}a_1 = (x^6 + y^6)a_0 + (x^6 - y^6)a_2$$

$$(4.72) \quad \tilde{h}_{0,1}a_0 + \tilde{h}_{1,2}a_2 = 2z^6a_1$$

$$(4.73) \quad -\tilde{h}_{0,0}a_2 + 2\tilde{h}_{1,2}a_1 = (x^6 - y^6)a_0 + (x^6 + y^6)a_2$$

Using the relations $x = a_0 + a_2$, $y = a_0 - a_2$, $z = 2a_1$, we find after a calculation,

$$(4.74) \quad \tilde{h}_{0,0} = 2x^3y^3, \quad \tilde{h}_{0,1} = z^3(x^3 - y^3), \quad \tilde{h}_{1,2} = z^3(x^3 + y^3).$$

It is now easy to see (using the formulas in [5, p.59]) that $\tilde{h}_{0,0}, \tilde{h}_{0,1}, \tilde{h}_{1,2}$ given by equation (4.74) satisfy the right transformation formulas (4.68), (4.69), (4.70) under S . Whence, $\tilde{\Phi}_{4,2}$ is non-zero

and has the Theta decomposition:

(4.75)

$$\tilde{\Phi}_{4,2} = 2x^3y^3(\theta_{0,0}^H - \theta_{2,2}^H) + z^3(x^3 - y^3)(\theta_{0,1}^H + \theta_{1,0}^H + \theta_{0,3}^H + \theta_{3,0}^H) + z^3(x^3 + y^3)(\theta_{1,2}^H + \theta_{2,1}^H + \theta_{2,3}^H + \theta_{3,2}^H)$$

As noted earlier, this completes the proof of the Proposition. $\square$

4.5. Order of vanishing at origin. For $\phi \in J_{k,m}(\mathcal{O}_K)$ let $\phi(\tau, z_1, z_2) = \sum_{\alpha, \beta \geq 0} \chi_{\alpha, \beta}(\tau) z_1^\alpha z_2^\beta$ be the Taylor expansion around $z_1 = z_2 = 0$. Define a non-negative integer $\varrho_{k,m}\phi$ by

$$(4.76) \quad \varrho_{k,m}\phi = \min \{ \alpha + \beta \mid \chi_{\alpha, \beta}(\tau) \neq 0 \} \quad \text{if } \phi \neq 0$$

$$(4.77) \quad = \infty \quad \text{otherwise}$$

i.e., $\varrho_{k,m}\phi$ can be interpreted as the order of vanishing of ϕ at the origin. From the relation with Jacobi forms, we can give upper bounds on $\varrho_{k,m}\phi$ for any $\phi \in J_{k,m}(\mathcal{O}_K)$ ($m = 1, 2$).

Proposition 4.13. (i) Let $\phi \in J_{k,1}(\mathcal{O}_K)$ be non zero. Then

$$(4.78) \quad 0 \leq \varrho_{k,1} \leq 2 \text{ if } k \equiv 2 \pmod{4}; \quad 0 \leq \varrho_{k,1} \leq 4 \text{ if } k \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$$

(ii) Let $\phi \in J_{k,2}(\mathcal{O}_K)$. Then

$$(4.79) \quad 0 \leq \varrho_{k,2} \leq 5 \text{ if } k \equiv 1, 3 \pmod{4}; \quad 0 \leq \varrho_{k,2} \leq 8 \text{ if } k \equiv 0, 2 \pmod{4}$$

Proof. All of these assertions except the case $k \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$, $m = 2$ follow easily from Propositions 4.8, 4.3 and Theorem 4.11 and the corresponding result for Jacobi forms (see [5, p.37]). In the case $k \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$, $m = 2$ we have $J_{k,2}(\mathcal{O}_K) \xrightarrow{\pi_1 \times \pi_{1+i}} J_{k,2} \times J_{k,4}$ (as in the case $k \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$). This follows from Lemmas 4.1 and 4.2 and equations (4.17) and (4.18). For convenience, we give the proof. Let $\phi \in J_{k,2}(\mathcal{O}_K)$, with Theta components $h_{a,b}$ ($a, b \in \mathcal{S}_2$). From $\pi_{1+i}\phi = 0$, we get $h_{1,1} = 0$ and from $\pi_1\phi = 0$ that (using $h_{0,0} = h_{2,2} = 0$)

$$\begin{pmatrix} 2a_1 & a_2 & 0 \\ a_0 & 0 & a_2 \\ 0 & a_0 & 2a_1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} h_{0,1} \\ h_{0,2} \\ h_{1,2} \end{pmatrix} = 0$$

Since $\det \begin{pmatrix} 2a_1 & a_2 & 0 \\ a_0 & 0 & a_2 \\ 0 & a_0 & 2a_1 \end{pmatrix} = -4a_0a_1a_2$, we get the required injectivity and hence the Proposition. $\square$

5. RANK OF $J_{n*,m}(\mathcal{O}_K)$ OVER M_* AND ALGEBRAIC INDEPENDENCE OF $\phi_{4,1}$, $\phi_{8,1}$, $\phi_{12,1}$

We refer to [10] for the definition of the index 1 forms $\phi_{4,1}$, $\phi_{8,1}$, $\phi_{12,1}$ which are a basis for $J_{4*,1}(\mathcal{O}_K) := \bigoplus_{k \geq 0} J_{4k,1}(\mathcal{O}_K)$ as a module over M_* .

Remark 5.1. For $m \geq 1$, $J_{n*,m}(\mathcal{O}_K)$ ($n = 2, 4$) are modules over M_* via the algebra isomorphism

$$(5.1) \quad M_* = \mathbb{C}[E_4, E_6] \xrightarrow{E_4 \mapsto E_4, E_6 \mapsto E_6^2} \mathbb{C}[E_4, E_6^2]$$

because $E_6 \cdot J_{n*,m}(\mathcal{O}_K) \not\subset J_{n*,m}(\mathcal{O}_K)$. From the argument in [5, p.97], we easily see that $J_{*,*}(\mathcal{O}_K)$ is free over M_* , and $J_{n*,m}(\mathcal{O}_K)$ is of finite rank $r_n(m)$ over M_* .

Proposition 5.2. (i) $r_4(m) = m^2 + 2$, (ii) $r_2(m) = 2(m^2 + 1)$.

Proof. The proof is immediate from the dimension formula of $J_{k,m}(\mathcal{O}_K)$ in [7, Theorem 3]. We find that $\dim J_{k,m}(\mathcal{O}_K) = (m^2 + 2) \dim M_k + f(m) + O(1)$, (resp. $= m^2 \dim M_k + g(m) + O(1)$) where $f(m), g(m)$ are functions depending only on m when $k \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ (resp. $k \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$). Letting $k \rightarrow \infty$, we get (i). Since there can be no linear relation between generators of weights 0 (mod 4) and 2 (mod 4) by Remark 5.1, (ii) follows. $\square$

Proposition 5.3. $\phi_{4,1}$, $\phi_{8,1}$, $\phi_{12,1}$ are algebraically independent over M_* .

Proof. It is enough to prove the algebraic independence of $\psi_{8,1}, \tilde{\psi}_{16,1}, \psi_{16,1}$, where $\psi_{8,1} = E_4 \phi_{4,1} - \phi_{8,1}$, $\psi_{12,1} = E_4 \phi_{8,1} - \phi_{12,1}$, $\tilde{\psi}_{16,1} = 5E_4 \psi_{12,1} - 3\psi_{16,1}$; $\psi_{8,1}, \psi_{12,1}, \psi_{16,1}$ being the generators of $J_{4*,1}^{cusp}(\mathcal{O}_K)$ over M_* (see [10] for their definition).

Let $f(X, Y, Z) = \sum_{a+b+c=m} Q_{a,b,c} X^a Y^b Z^c$ be a homogeneous polynomial over M_* of least degree m such that $f(\psi_{8,1}, \tilde{\psi}_{16,1}, \psi_{16,1}) = 0$. Applying the map π_1 in the above relation we get

$$\sum_{b+c=m} Q_{0,b,c} (\pi_1 \tilde{\psi}_{16,1})^b (\pi_1 \psi_{16,1})^c = 0, \quad \text{since } \pi_1 \psi_{8,1} = 0.$$

From Lemma 5.4 $\pi_1 \tilde{\psi}_{16,1} \neq 0$, $D_0 \pi_1 \tilde{\psi}_{16,1} = 0$, $D_0 \pi_1 \psi_{16,1} \neq 0$. Hence, the argument in [5, p.90] for classical Jacobi forms applies, showing $Q_{0,b,c} = 0$ for all b, c such that $b + c = m$. Hence we have

$$\sum_{a+b+c=m, a \geq 1} Q_{a,b,c} \psi_{8,1}^a \tilde{\psi}_{16,1}^b \psi_{16,1}^c = 0,$$

giving an equation of lower degree. Hence the Proposition is proved. $\square$

Lemma 5.4. (i) $\psi_{16,1} = -2^8 \Delta \phi_{4,1} + 2E_4^2 \phi_{8,1} - E_4 \phi_{12,1}$.

$$(ii) D_0 \psi_{16,1} = -5 \cdot 2^8 \Delta E_4, \quad D_0 \psi_{12,1} = -3 \cdot 2^8 \Delta.$$

(iii) $\pi_1 \tilde{\psi}_{16,1} = (\frac{2}{9} E_4^3 + 3 \cdot 2^9 \Delta) E_{4,1} + \frac{8}{9} E_4 E_6 E_{6,1}; E_{4,1}, E_{6,1}$ being the normalised Jacobi Eisenstein series, which are a basis of $J_{2*,1}$ over M_* . $\Delta = q \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - q^n)^{24}$ is the Discriminant cusp form of weight 12.

Proof. The calculations follow from the Theta decompositions of $\phi_{4i,1}$ ($i = 1, 2, 3$) given in [10] and using the Theta relations (see [9]) : Let $\theta_s^H(\tau) := \theta_{0,0}^H(\tau, 0, 0)$ ($s \in \mathcal{S}_1$). Then we have

$$\theta_{0,0}^H(\tau) = \frac{1}{2}(x^2 + y^2), \quad \theta_{0,1}^H(\tau) = \theta_{1,0}^H(\tau) = \frac{1}{2}z^2, \quad \theta_{1,1}^H(\tau) = \frac{1}{2}(x^2 - y^2);$$

where

$$x = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} e\left(\frac{n^2 \tau}{2}\right), \quad y = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} (-1)^n e\left(\frac{n^2 \tau}{2}\right), \quad z = \sum_{t \in \frac{1}{2} + \mathbb{Z}} e\left(\frac{t^2 \tau}{2}\right)$$

are the ‘‘Theta constants’’. We omit the calculations. $\square$

6. FURTHER QUESTIONS AND REMARKS

- (1) The restriction maps that we use in this paper do not commute with Hecke operators. Nevertheless it is expected that the following should be true. There should exist finitely many algebraic integers $\rho_j \in \mathcal{O}_K$, $1 \leq j \leq n$ (where n depends only on the index m) such that we have an embedding/isomorphism :

$$\pi_{\rho_1} \times \cdots \times \pi_{\rho_n} : J_{k,m}(\mathcal{O}_K) \hookrightarrow J_{k,mN(\rho_1)} \times \cdots \times J_{k,mN(\rho_n)}$$

where $N : K \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$ is the norm map. From the results of this paper (see Theorem 4.7, Proposition 4.13) this is true for $m = 1, 2$ and these cases suggest that ρ_j and n above should be related to the decomposition of m in $\mathcal{O}_K$.

- (2) We know that for $k \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$, $\dim J_{k,2}(\mathcal{O}_K) = \frac{k}{2} = 2(\dim M_{k-4} + \dim M_{k-8} + \dim M_{k-12})$. This suggests what the minimal weights of the 6 generators of $J_{4*,2}(\mathcal{O}_K)$ over M_* should be, but the calculations seem to be much more than that in the case of classical Jacobi forms.

- (3) It would be interesting to write down $m^2 + 2$ forms in $J_{4*,m}(\mathcal{O}_K)$ linearly independent over M_* . We have $\binom{m+2}{2}$ such forms from Proposition 5.3. Since $m^2 + 2 - \binom{m+2}{2} = \binom{m-1}{2}$, we ask the following question. Let $A := \phi_{4,1}$, $B := \phi_{8,1}$, $C := \phi_{12,1}$. Does there exist a form ϕ_3 in $J_{k,3}(\mathcal{O}_K)$ (for suitable k) such that the set

$$\{A^a B^b C^c\}_{a+b+c=m} \cup \{\phi_3 A^a B^b C^c\}_{a+b+c=m-3}$$

consists of $m^2 + 2$ linearly independent forms (over M_*) in $J_{4*,m}(\mathcal{O}_K)$?

REFERENCES

- [1] T. Arakawa, S. Böcherer: *A Note on the Restriction Map for Jacobi Forms*. Abh. Math. Sem. Univ. Hamburg **Vol 69**, 309-317 (1999).
- [2] T. Arakawa, S. Böcherer: *Vanishing of certain spaces of elliptic modular forms and some applications*. J. reine angew Math. **Vol 559**, 25-51 (2003).
- [3] Soumya Das: *Some aspects of Hermitian Jacobi forms*. <http://arxiv.org/abs/0910.4306v1>
- [4] Soumya Das: *Restriction maps on Hermitian Jacobi forms of small index*. <http://arxiv.org/abs/0910.4312v1>
- [5] M. Eichler, D. Zagier: *The theory of Jacobi forms*. Progress in Mathematics, **Vol. 55**, Boston-Basel-Stuttgart: Birkhäuser (1985).
- [6] Klaus Haverkamp: *Hermiteische Jacobiformen*. SCHRIFTENREIHE des Mathematischen Institute der Universität Münster. 3.Serie, Heft 15 (1995).
- [7] Klaus Haverkamp: *Hermitian Jacobi forms*. Results in Mathematics. **Vol. 29**, 78-89 (1996).
- [8] J. Kramer: *A Wronskian of Thetanullwerte*. Abh. Math. Sem. Univ. Hamburg **Vol 61**, 61-62 (1991).
- [9] D. Mumford: *Tata lectures on Theta 1*. Birkhäuser (1983).
- [10] Ryuji Sasaki: *Hermitian Jacobi Forms of Index 1*. Tsukuba J. Math. **Vol 31**, No. 2, 301-325 (2007).

7. Acknowledgements

The author wishes to thank Prof. B. Ramakrishnan for his support and encouragement and Prof. R. Sasaki for providing the paper [10].

HARISH CHANDRA RESEARCH INSTITUTE, CHHATNAG ROAD, JHUSI ALLAHABAD 211019, INDIA.

E-mail address: somu@hri.res.in, soumya.u2k@gmail.com